













1. The 2013 Closed Session was a good use of my time FR: 2013 huis clos était un bon usage de mon temps

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly disagree (FR: fortement en désaccord)		0.0%	0
Disagree (FR: être en désaccord)		2.2%	1
Agree (FR: en d'accord)		33.3%	15
Strongly Agree (FR: fortement en d'accord)		62.2%	28
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		2.2%	1
answered question			45
skipped question			5






2. The “app-ification of society” was an interesting topic for discussion FR: Le "app-ification de la société" était un sujet de discussion intéressant

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly disagree (FR: fortement en désaccord)		0.0%	0
Disagree (FR: être en désaccord)		2.2%	1
Agree (FR: en d'accord)		46.7%	21
Strongly Agree (FR: fortement en d'accord)		48.9%	22
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		2.2%	1
answered question			45
skipped question			5

3. I learned something in the “app-ification of society” session that I have been able to use on my return to the office FR: J'ai appris quelque chose dans la séance "app-ification de la société" que j'ai pu utiliser à mon retour au bureau

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly disagree (FR: fortement en désaccord)		0.0%	0
Disagree (FR: être en désaccord)		6.8%	3
Agree (FR: en d'accord)		63.6%	28
Strongly Agree (FR: fortement en d'accord)		25.0%	11
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		4.5%	2
answered question			44
skipped question			6

4. The presentation of and discussion with David Medine (US Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board) on surveillance was useful FR: La présentation et la discussion avec David Medine (vie privée et des libertés civiles des États-Unis Oversight Board) sur la surveillance était utile

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly disagree (FR: fortement en désaccord)		2.3%	1
Disagree (FR: être en désaccord)		13.6%	6
Agree (FR: en d'accord)		45.5%	20
Strongly Agree (FR: fortement en d'accord)		29.5%	13
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		9.1%	4
answered question			44
skipped question			6

5. Presentations and discussion of the “app-ification of society”: most of one day FR: Présentations et des discussions de la "app-ification de la société": pluspart d'un jour

		Response Percent	Response Count
Too long (FR: trop long)		13.3%	6
About right (FR: A propos)		77.8%	35
Too short (FR: trop court)		4.4%	2
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		4.4%	2
answered question			45
skipped question			5

6. The reports of the Executive Committee and the International Working Group on Data Protection in Telecommunications (the “Berlin Group”): 30 minutes FR: Les rapports du Comité exécutif et du Groupe de travail international sur la protection des données dans les télécommunications (le "Groupe de Berlin"): 30 minutes

		Response Percent	Response Count
Too long (FR: trop long)		4.3%	2
About right (FR: A propos)		65.2%	30
Too short (FR: trop court)		21.7%	10
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		8.7%	4
answered question			46
skipped question			4

7. Report of International Cooperation and Coordination Enforcement Working Group: 90 minutes
FR: Rapport du Groupe de travail sur la coopération et l'exécution de coordination internationale: 90 minutes

		Response Percent	Response Count
Too long (FR: trop long)		28.9%	13
About right (FR: A propos)		57.8%	26
Too short (FR: trop court)		6.7%	3
No view (FR: Pas d'opinion)		6.7%	3
answered question			45
skipped question			5

8. Discussion of the resolutions: 100 minutes
FR: Discussion des résolutions: 100 minutes

		Response Percent	Response Count
Too long (FR: trop longtemps)		4.4%	2
About right (FR: A propos de droit)		71.1%	32
Too short (FR: trop court)		17.8%	8
No view (FR: Pas Voir)		6.7%	3
answered question			45
skipped question			5








9. Both in 2012 (profiling) and 2013 (mobile apps), the topic of the Closed Session related to the private and the public sector. Should this be the same in 2014? FR: Deux en 2012 (profilage) et 2013 (applications mobiles), le thème de la séance à huis clos lié au secteur privé et le secteur public. Si tel est le même en 2014?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes (FR:oui)		97.8%	45
No, the topic should only relate to the private sector (FR: Non, le sujet ne devrait concerner que le secteur privé)		0.0%	0
No, the topic should only relate to the public sector (FR: Non, le sujet should ne concernent que le secteur public)		2.2%	1
answered question			46
skipped question			4






10. A new format of the Closed Session was used in 2012 and 2013 (1.5 day, with 1 day allocated for intensive discussions of a selected topic with 0.5 day for other discussions). Did you like that format? FR: Un nouveau format de la séance à huis clos a été utilisé en 2012 et 2013 (1,5 jours, avec 1 jour prévu pour des discussions intensives d'un thème choisi avec 0,5 jours pour les autres discussions). Vous avez aimé ce format?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes (FR: oui)		89.1%	41
No (FR: non)		6.5%	3
No opinion (FR: pas d'opinion)		4.3%	2
answered question			46
skipped question			4

11. Where is your DPA based? FR: Où est votre DPA fondée?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Europe		59.6%	28
Africa (FR: Afrique)		4.3%	2
Middle East (FR: Moyen Orient)		2.1%	1
Asia (FR: Asie)		10.6%	5
Oceania (FR: Océanie)		6.4%	3
North America (FR: Amérique du Nord)		12.8%	6
South America (FR: Amérique du Sud)		4.3%	2
		answered question	47
		skipped question	3

12. How many participants were in your 2013 delegation? FR: Nombre de participants dans votre délégation 2013?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		26.7%	12
2		42.2%	19
3		17.8%	8
4		11.1%	5
5		0.0%	0
5+		2.2%	1
		answered question	45
		skipped question	5

13. How many times has your delegation taken part in the Closed Session? FR: Combien de fois votre délégation pris part à la séance à huis clos?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		6.7%	3
2		11.1%	5
3-5		26.7%	12
6-10		13.3%	6
10+		42.2%	19
answered question			45
skipped question			5

14. Do you have any further comments to make on the Closed Session? FR: Avez-vous d'autres commentaires à formuler sur la séance à huis clos?

	Response Count
	17
answered question	17
skipped question	33

15. Do you have any suggestions for future topics for the Closed Session? FR: Avez-vous des suggestions pour de futurs sujets pour la session à huis clos?

	Response Count
	18
answered question	18
skipped question	32

**Page 6, Q14. Do you have any further comments to make on the Closed Session?
FR: Avez-vous d'autres commentaires à formuler sur la séance à huis clos?**

1	Lors de la 35 ème Conférence, l'organisation et l'accueil ont été remarquables et les débats très instructifs pour une jeune autorité de contrôle.	Jan 20, 2014 5:52 AM
2	The answers to question number one were too limited in the sense that the alternatives were circumscribed to the issue of whether the subject to be discussed should be related to the private sector, the public sector or both. In our view, and although the fact that selecting a topic which can be addressed from both perspective may be an added value, the main criterium in order to identify suitable discussion topics should be primarily be their interest for the data protection community, relevance and timelines.	Jan 17, 2014 6:37 AM
3	I'd like to encourage this new format of deepening discussions aorund a strong topic, as very useful and allowing more shared information, more perspectives, more interesting discussions and better outcome. The topics can be applicable to both public and private sector, but that should not be a goal by itself. If there is need for our work and it is oportune to discuss a theme which is only applicable to private sector or to public sector, the Conference should discuss it. (The choices given in the question about this didn't allow to express this point of view).	Jan 17, 2014 5:54 AM
4	Perhaps it would be usefull to have a kind of "road map" for the next coming 3-5 years. One theme should be practical co operation, even based on need for ad hoc -reactions.	Jan 16, 2014 2:00 AM
5	No	Jan 15, 2014 6:00 PM
6	No	Jan 14, 2014 11:32 PM
7	the Closed session should be organised after the open session save the latter is not organised at all.	Jan 14, 2014 2:53 AM
8	No	Jan 13, 2014 9:01 PM
9	Crowded, but hard to avoid	Jan 10, 2014 5:14 PM
10	To try to have the documents of discussion earlier	Jan 10, 2014 9:40 AM
11	I think too much time was devoted to the Amplification" topic. While interesting I would like to see more time devoted to strategic issues - how can the Conference (in the sense of the collective members) be more effective; what are we trying to achieve. The WG created in Warsaw may help in this respect. The Resolution process could be more effective. It has improved but there are still issues around the time frame for proposing changes. As well, it might be useful to have a discussion of improving follow-up and being clearer about what DPAs are expected to do re: the Resolutions	Jan 10, 2014 5:51 AM
12	No.	Jan 10, 2014 3:41 AM
13	How about a somewhat less cramped or, in other words, slightly more hospitable conference room?	Jan 8, 2014 4:05 AM
14	- I preferred the closed session to follow rather than precede the public conference - more thought needs to be given to allowing free time for bi-laterals and to avoid clashes with fringe events	Jan 7, 2014 4:50 PM

Page 6, Q14. Do you have any further comments to make on the Closed Session?

FR: Avez-vous d'autres commentaires à formuler sur la séance à huis clos?

15	having all views presented on a particular topic is of great interest but being the target of a PR exercise of a vendor is not necessarily helpful, in particular when the product presented does not seem to present all the privacy safeguards that would seem relevant - stricter choice of speakers for the future ? (illustration : 'security app' : lack of adequate information prior to the choice of accepting or refusing to allow access to contacts / when App deleted from the smartphone, when reloading it it had kept memory of previous choices made (while by deleting the App ALL data should have been erased..). I did not continue the experience and those are the two problems immediately noticed after 30sec of trying to see how the App operates.)	Jan 4, 2014 2:01 AM
16	--	Dec 26, 2013 11:31 PM
17	la longueur de la séance à huis clos, ainsi que le choix de thèmes pertinents ajoute beaucoup de valeur à nos rencontres. Cela nous permet de développer des consensus, d'apprendre et de former un front commun face aux défis de l'heure.	Dec 19, 2013 5:45 AM

**Page 6, Q15. Do you have any suggestions for future topics for the Closed Session?
FR: Avez-vous des suggestions pour de futurs sujets pour la session à huis clos?**

1	Anonymization/pseudoanonymization	Jan 23, 2014 12:40 AM
2	Il serait nécessaire de consacrer plus de temps à la discussion des résolutions avant leur adoption.	Jan 20, 2014 5:52 AM
3	Cloud computing Universal identifiers (Telecom, ID, biometrics) Location data (mobile phone, personal car/toll/e-Call, car at workplace, law enforcement purposes) Big data	Jan 17, 2014 5:54 AM
4	IPv 6 and Ubiquitous Computing, Affiliate marketing, Education (generally speaking some kind of practical follow up of the declarations)	Jan 16, 2014 2:00 AM
5	Suggestions for future closed sessions include: -Internet of Things -Retail tracking and location based marketing (example, Apple iBeacon) -Biometrics and 2-factor authentication (example, Samsung iris and iPhone fingerprint technologies)	Jan 15, 2014 6:00 PM
6	No	Jan 14, 2014 11:32 PM
7	privacy of politicians, civil servants and all public sector employees - freedom of information and personal data protection, in particular emails, signatures, attendance of meetings	Jan 14, 2014 2:53 AM
8	No	Jan 13, 2014 9:01 PM
9	The sessions tend to concentrate on global issues, whereas the concern of many DPAs (particularly smaller DPAs) is more domestic. Maybe this balance could be reconsidered.	Jan 10, 2014 5:14 PM
10	Some aspects that new or early DPA's are facing in the third world would be of interest to share and learn about the challenges there are facing.	Jan 10, 2014 9:40 AM
11	Oversight of surveillance activities is timely. However, we can't focus just on the US. Do Parliamentary Committees work? How can we achieve more transparency/public reporting?	Jan 10, 2014 5:51 AM
12	No.	Jan 10, 2014 3:41 AM
13	mass data collection and blurring line between private and public use	Jan 10, 2014 3:11 AM
14	-	Jan 8, 2014 4:05 AM
15	I'd like some time devoted to promoting continuous improvement, capacity building and sharing best practice in 'performing the job' of a national or state DPA e.g. measuring performance, effectively influencing stakeholders, managing ethical dilemmas, improving regulatory practice, etc.	Jan 7, 2014 4:50 PM
16	the discussion topics should enable to raise awareness on emerging trends/threats : wearable computer / internet of things / big data (in correlation with mass surveillance capacities), etc.	Jan 4, 2014 2:01 AM
17	--	Dec 26, 2013 11:31 PM
18	traitement juridique de la collecte de renseignements autonome, du fait de la technologie, donc sans consentement,	Dec 19, 2013 5:45 AM