



ICDPPC

International Conference of Data
Protection & Privacy Commissioners

ICDPPC Secretariat

ICDPPC Census – Request for release of information by approved researchers and other networks of privacy and data protection authorities

1. Name of organisation	IAPP
2. Contact	Rita Heimes
3. What census data are you interested to access?	Please see attached
4. In what format do you want to receive the data? For example MS word, PDF, excel sheet etc.	MS word
5. Nature of research?	Please see attached
6. When will the research be public?	By or before Nov. 2
7. In what form will the research be available?	IAPP website


Standard conditions for release of information

The Secretariat is open to vary the standard conditions or request.

- Suitable acknowledgement of the ICDPPC Census as source of information will be made.
- Where feasible published results of research using ICDPPC Census data will be shared with the ICDPPC Secretariat.
- Raw census data will not be directly shared with third parties.
- Research will be limited to the description of the nature of the research in this application.

Please indicate you accept all the above conditions. Contact us if you wish to discuss any or all of them.

I, Rita Heimes, IAPP (Name of organisation/ contact) agree to comply with all the conditions.



Date:

30 August 2017

provide the data in the desired format.

Census data requested:

A(1)(a) (b) and (c); A(2); A(3); and A(4)

B(1) and B(3)

C(1); C(2); C(3); C(4); and C(5)

D(1); D(2); D(3); D(4); D(5); D(6); and D(8)

E(1); E(2); E(3); E(5)(d); and E(9)

F(3); F(4); and F(5)

G(1) and G(2)

Proposed research projects:

Enforcement activities and gaps: Which enforcement roles are the most and least common amongst the authorities? Which role(s) do all or most authorities perform? Are there any that none or very few perform?

Communication and public outreach activities: Of the total number of cases that authorities accept, what percentage of those are reported to the public? Are authorities that include public outreach/education as one of their principal roles more likely to communicate to the public versus those that do not? Are authorities with the power to make binding decisions in individual cases more likely or less likely to release cases publicly or to make them available on their website?

Inter-jurisdictional collaboration: On average, how strict are the laws concerning the cross-border transfers of data, and what level of involvement do authorities have in their enforcement? In other words, how collaboration-friendly are the data protection laws under which they operate? Which approach is more common: Laws that enable authorities to disclose information to and assist authorities in other jurisdictions, or laws that prohibit information disclosure to other authorities?

Participation in ICDPPC Resolutions: Using answers to questions about number of staff, offices, and funding sources, and whether the authority has the power to make binding decisions in individual cases and to impose fines, we could assign an “influence” or “engagement” score to each authority and then map that against their participation crafting ICDPPC resolutions and in the ICDPPC cooperative network. This will help us to understand the collective influence of the ICDPPC itself.

6 September 2017

IAPP - Additional research request

Dear Vanya,

As we look at the data you sent to us in response to our request, and to help us fulfill our specific research goals, we see another question we would like to use the data to answer, namely: How do DPA income and staff numbers compare to one another based on country population and gross domestic product, and by region?

Would you accept our use of the data you disclosed for this purpose? We will share our published results with you, of course.

Very best regards,

Rita

Rita S. Heimes, CIPP/US, CIPM

Research Director + DPO

IAPP