The checklist was developed by David Smith for the sub-group. The thinking behind it, and the associated processes, were settled at a meeting of the sub-group in Auckland. It has served the sub-group extremely well from the start and has required no changes.

The checklist is completed electronically. The second assessor will distinguish his or her comments from the first assessor by using a different type style or by placing comments in bold or italics etc.

ACCREDITATION OF DATA PROTECTION AUTHORITY CHECKLIST FOR THE CREDENTIALS SUB-GROUP

Г

Name of Authority	
Does the authority have clear and wide ranging data protection functions covering a broad area of economic activity (eg not just an advising body or a body operating in a narrow field such as medical privacy)?	Notes
Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Legal Basis. Is the authority a public body established on an appropriate legal basis (eg by statute or regulation)?	Notes
Yes	
No	
Don't know	

4 Autonomy and Independence? Is the authority guaranteed an appropriate degree of autonomy and independence to perform its functions (eg the power to make public statements and protection from removal from office)?

Yes

1

2

3

No

Don't know

5 Consistency with International Instruments. Is the law under which the authority operates compatible with at least one of the international instruments dealing with data protection and privacy (eg EU Directive, OECD Guidelines, Council of Europe Convention)?

Notes

Notes	 	

No

Yes

Don't know

6 Appropriate Functions. Does the authority have an appropriate range of functions with the legal powers necessary to perform those functions (eg the power to receive and investigate complaints from individuals without seeking permission)?

Yes

No

Don't know

7 Does the Sub-group recommend accreditation?

Yes

No

8 If accreditation is recommended what is the accreditation as?

National authority (within the UN criteria)

Authority within a limited sub-national territory

Authority within an international or supranational body

9 If accreditation is as an authority within an international/supranational body does the recommendation include voting rights?

Voting Rights

No Voting Rights

10 If accreditation is not recommended does the Sub Group recommend that accreditation is refused or is more information needed before a decision can be made?

Refusal

More Information

11 If accreditation is not recommended and the application Notes

Notes		

Notes		

Notes

Notes

Notes	 	

is from an authority with narrow functions does the Sub Group recommend that, at the discretion of the conference host, observer status is granted?

Not Applicable

Yes

Not

If more information is required what is this:

Signed on behalf of the Subgroup:

Date:	
Date:	
Date:	

Note: 2 signatures required for recommendations for accreditation. 3 signatures required for recommendations for refusal

r